



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/945,225 | 08/31/2001 | Ting Tina Ye | 1001.1471102 | 3493 |
| 28075 7590 04/16/2008 CROMPTON, SEAGER & TUFTE, LLC 1221 NICOLLET AVENUE SUITE 800 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55403-2420 | | | | |
| EXAMINER DESANTO, MATTHEW F | | | | |
| ART UNIT | | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| 3763 | | | | |
| MAIL DATE | | DELIVERY MODE | | |
| 04/16/2008 | | PAPER | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

Application No.

09/945,225

Applicant(s)

YE ET AL.

Examiner

MATTHEW F. DESANTO

Art Unit

3763

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) MATTHEW F. DESANTO.

(3) _____.

(2) David Crompton.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 12 March 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: None.

Identification of prior art discussed: None.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The examiner called for a second time to discuss the case with David Crompton but was unable to speak with him. The examiner left a message for the second time and explained the situation with this case as well as the decision on the petition and that an appeal conference had been held with Nick Lucchesi and Angie Sykes.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Matthew F DeSanto/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3763

Examiner's signature, if required

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an
Attachment to a signed Office action.